

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/469,633 12/22/1999		JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		MICHAEL T. WHITE	F19-99-140	5198	
32074	7590	08/25/2003			
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION				EXAMINER	
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-	482		ZEENDER, FLORIAN M		
2070 ROUTE 52 HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	3627		
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Autom On the second	09/469,633	WHITE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturent of the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	August 2003 .						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ T	his action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims							
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement						
Application Papers	or orosion roquiromonii						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	its have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received in Applica	ation No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/469,633

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Rassman et al. and Official Notice.

Miller discloses a method for facilitating implementation of an automated system for transacting business, the system having a plurality of users; the method including the steps of: assigning a user identifier (See Col. 3, line 53 – Col. 4,line 8); preparing a security profile corresponding to each identifier, each security profile including a set of authorized transactions (See Col. 3, line 53 – Col. 4,line 14; and Col. 12, line 64 – Col. 12, line 13); the system allowing incompatible/conflicting transactions to be locked out providing security (See Col. 12, lines 3-9).

Miller lacks the specific teaching of the method including the steps of the users being subject to predetermined rules governing business conduct; preparing a list of incompatible transactions (incompatible with the rules governing business conduct); comparing each security profile with the list to identify the security profiles with incompatible transactions; and generating a report.

Rassman et al. teach a computer system for business whereby conflicts involving resources are identified/listed, indicia being employed to notify operators of conflicts, automatic notification of conflicts to relevant personnel, and automatic adjustment of schedules/resources to correct the conflict (See Col. 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Miller to include the steps of preparing a list of incompatible

Art Unit: 3627

transactions, comparing each security profile with the list to identify the security profiles with incompatible transactions, and generating a report, in view of Rassman et al., in order to provide a cost efficient system (See Rassman et al., Col. 2, lines 27-30).

Further, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in business to create a list of incompatible transactions for certain employees and to monitor the transactions of the employees in order to determine which employees have conducted incompatible transactions. A rude example of this well known business security tool would be in a restaurant setting where an employee is authorized to prepare food <u>OR</u> handle the cash register, but not both. If the employee performs both actions (food <u>and</u> cash register), that is considered "incompatible" because there is a chance for the employee to give food away without charging the customer.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 7 have been fully considered but are not persuasive in view of the new ground(s) of rejection (use of Official Notice).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 872-9306.

F. Zeender 8/72/03

Patent Examiner, A.U. 3627

August 22, 2003